Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori Tabl	re h ing ures e ing e)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co So T	arge scor with urthe ontro (See corin	re er ols ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	R		Impact	Probability	R			
Safeguarding - Integration agenda. Risks associated with large programme of change in challenging financial context.	Failure against national commitments on integration. Services are not aligned; Financial risk; Conflict between priorities of	High visibility at partnership forums; Support to frontline staff to maintain operational relationship management; Communication strategy for transformation in context of integration includes partners.	4	4		Establish clear partnership arrangement to agree and deliver Integrated Care in Leicester; maximise Better Care Fund (BCF) opportunity.	3	3	9			BCF plan complete; implementati on planning through 2014/15
Safeguarding - Failure to deliver satisfactory Intermediate care capacity. Ineffective partnership	intermediate care priorities	Strategy and redesign work to establish cross-economy commitment to intermediate care models	4	4		Engage with Health & Wellbeing Board as it establishes; establish programme board with Care Commissioning Group input	3	3	9			Work will be ongoing throughout 2014 to 2016
Safeguarding - Care Bill Implications. risk of financial pressures, risk of operational	financial liability re Information Commissioners Office; breach of confidence	Programme board arrangements to prepare for implementation of new requirements. East mids partnership to share learning; financial and operational project leads	4	4		New funding £125k 2014/15 to support capacity	4	3	12			31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	Risl Scor with cisti easu (See corin fable	re h ing ires e ng	Further management actions/controls required	S fu co So T	arg Scor with urth ontro (See corin	re er ols ∍ ng ∍)		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
Safeguarding - Operational Capacity.		Manager briefings to ensure legal requirements understood; scoping of high risk cases to understand new DOLS cases; prioritisation of action on cases; monitoring of imcoming pressures for DOLS team and use of independent BIA capacity; engagement with legal services re COP applications and pressures. Additional resources agreed for recruitment via budget setting	4	4		Tracking of anticipated legal guidance on application of case law in practice; consideration of additional resources to support scoping exercise as this has not been completed due to lack of resources / competing priorities. Meeting with legal services to assess position / agree actions to mitigate risk 24 March. Issue to be escalated to Leadership Team. Further work via NHSE MCA project and HOS to address care home practice which is exacerbating the volume and timescales risks	4	3	12	2 tbc in year. Additional resources included in budget setting		

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e: me	eas (Se icor Tab	ore th ting ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fi co S	arg Scor with urth ontro (See cori	re h er ols e ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Pro			Impact		Risk			
5. Adult Social Care & Safeguarding - Meet Health & Safety (H&S) expectations in regulated provision. Fail to maintain safe water systems in all units; Failure to maintain essential health and safety in intermediate care provision.	III health or death to residents and/or staff or visitors from water borne infections or poor H&S practices.	Water hygiene monitoring practice in place	5	3	15	Ensure all registered managers go on required training and fully understand the requirements for temperature checking, flushing regimes, tap cleaning etc. and can closely monitor those carrying out these tasks.	5	2	10		Ruth Lake	31.03.2016
6. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Implementation of the 5 Year Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland (LLR) Better Care Together Plan carries high financial and political risk	Financial impact/legal challenge	An LLR Programme Board has been established that includes health and social care chief officers	5	4	20	An LLR Programme Board has been established that includes health and social care chief officers	3	3		Operational and cost implications still to be determined	Tracie Rees	01.01.2019

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

_				_	_		-			-		
Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5	Further management actions/controls required	fi co	arge Scor with urth ontro	re n er ols	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date			
problem – what could go wrong			S	cori Fabl	ing e)		s	(See corii ſable	ng e)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
7. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Failure to carry out effective statutory consultation will result in financial and reputational damage to the council.	review	Consultations being run as a dedicated project overseen by a senior manager with some temporary additional resource. Ensure time is built into each review, development of all strategies etc. to allow for consultation	5	4	20	Stakeholder engagement strategy in place and we always seek advice from legal services and corporate consultation team. Legal services sign off all consultation materials and agree the approach and methodology. Officers to seek guidance from the corporate consultation team when needed	4	3		A Judicial Review legal challenge could cost the authority several millions if the methodology used by the Council is not robust	Tracie Rees	31.05.2016 and ongoing
8. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) Quality of care in the Independent regulated services including; residential homes, domiciliary care and supported living providers falls below standards	Detriment (harm) to individuals, groups or the Council (financial or reputational)	High level Audit processes in places via Adult Social Care contracts and assurance team. This is in addition to Care Quality Commission inspections.	5	4	20	Quality Assurance Framework to be used to support identified failing providers.	5	3	15		Tracie Rees	31/03/2016 and ongoing
9. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Failure to maintain quality, safe services		Reed opening up the market, developing induction days and tools, benchmarking training and using the Swedish Derogation rule for consistency.	4	4	16	Monitor and engage with Reed to ensure development measures are undertaken. Monitor quality of agency staff	2	3	6			31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ez me	(Se cor Tabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Si T	arg Scor with urth ontro (See corin	re er ols ∍ ng ≆)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Pro			Impact		Risk			
10. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Future of the Councils 8 Elderly Persons Homes - High risk politically, however, failure to implement carries high financial risks in terms of deteriorating buildings and reducing occupancy levels. Delay to implementation will impact on budgeted savings. Legal challenge arising from TUPE consultation impacts on project delivery	An Executive decision was made (15.10.2013) to close 4 of the homes and sell 4 in 2 phases to achieve budget savings and address falling occupancy. Phase 1 is now completed. Phase 2 in progress.	implement the Executive decision	4	4		Care management teams to support and inform residents and carers. Deliver to project timescale and provide Executive with clear advice to support speedy decision making. Ensure effective TUPE process and an employment lawyer and HR to be part of implementation team.	4			savings of £3.5m associated with the future of the homes	Tracie Rees	31.12.2015
11. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) Review of Residential Care. Financial risk - largest area of spend and danger of inappropriate models of care.	Continued escalation of spend; inappropriate placements	Project Board in place; extensive research, analysis and engagement	4	4	16	Robust governance through project board, Commissioning Board and Lead Member Briefing	3	3	9	Current spend £44m gross	Tracie Rees	31/03/2016 and ongoing
12. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Quality of care provision in the council's residential homes falls below required standards.	Detriment (harm) to individuals, groups or the Council (financial or reputational)	Management audits of practice and development of plans to promote improvements	5	3	15	Audit processes in place via Adult Social Care contracts and assurance team. This is in addition to Care Quality Commission inspections.	5	2	10		Tracie Rees	31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris		Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why		ex	Sco witl cisti	h ing	actions/controls required	fı	Scor with urth	า er			
problem – what could go wrong			S 1	(Se cori ſable	ng e)		s	(See corii fable	e ng e)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
		Phase 1 of the Act successfully implemented on 01/04/15. Phase 2 - Funding Reform now in detailed project planning for 01/04/2016 The implementation will report on a regulate basis to the ASC Leadership Team and Cllr Patel (Lead for ASC)	5	3	15	A Programme Board has been established that will report to the CPMO. Project work streams designed to deliver compliance	3	2	6	Full costs are still to be determined - financial assessment in progress. National, regional and local work taking place to forecast increased demand.	Tracie Rees	31/03/2016
	challenge through judicial	Equality impact assessments (EIA) are built into service reviews, strategy developments and decision making which help to identify equality impacts and actions to be taken.	5	3		Ensure all staff are fully aware of when to use EIA's and build this into their routine work (when necessary). Training to be offered through Better Care Together.	5	2	10	A JR legal challenge could cost the authority several millions if the methodology used by the Council is not robust	Tracie Rees	31/03/2016 and ongoing
STRATEGIC AREA - City D	evelopment and Neigh	bourhoods										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls			re ng ires ng e)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co So T	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See corin	re er ols ng ⊋)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
is to be fully implemented in	receive all their benefits, including housing costs element the, directly themselves, monthly in arrears. They will have to pay their FULL rent out of this. The biggest challenge to the HRA will be to collect the full rent from those working age claimants whose housing costs are no longer paid directly to the Landlord (LCC) as they are now. Higher numbers of tenants in rent arrears leading to loss of rental income will adversely affect the HRA income. Could lead to greater number of evictions.	Promote setting up of Credit Union Bank Accounts (CUBA) with tenants., Focus Supporting Tenants and Residents (STAR) team support on those affected. maximise the number of tenants claiming Discretionary Housing Payment for bedroom tax affected cases. Identified tenants who are over- occupying in order to help with down- sizing. Promotion/awareness to tenants of Discretionary Housing Payment. Income Management team strengthened. Amending Allocations policy to advise downsizing	4		16	Development of Northgates IT system (phase 2) to support paperless direct debits. Proposal to introduce mandatory direct debit rent payment for new tenants will be considered by Executive in August '15. 2. Identification of those tenants at risk of the new welfare reforms. Executive report August '15		C Probability			Ann Branson	30.11.2015 and ongoing
	Further welfare cuts in 2015. Summer budget will reduce tenants income.											

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	S ex me S T	(See corii able	re ng ires ng e)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Sa T	arge Scor with urth ontro (See corin	re n er ols ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
regulatory requirements. Blue light emergency services having	attendance/treatment of emergency situations, e.g. medical, fire. Potential to cause death, major or minor injury. Litigation/reputational risk	Fire service have fire keys for security doors. Ambulance and police services do not have keys. In an emergency situation will use intercom system to request a tenant within the block to give access through the security door. Where this isn't possible Police will break-in on behalf of ambulance		3		Programme of fitting key safes to house a fob/pac token along with signs providing instructions for gaining access has been implemented. Work on target.	5	2	10		Ann Branson	31/08/2015
17. Housing - Risk of Legal challenge, liability and reputational consequence if properties are not adequately maintained. Greater financial investment needed in the future. Rent reduction of 1% per annum for next 4 years will threaten budget for maintenance.	risks to tenants, properties falling into disrepair. Reputational risk	On-going capital investment (25 year strategy and planned maintenance programmes). On-going day to day responsive repairs service. Minimum standard for property re- letting. In house Quality Control team. Continue to review more effective ways of maintaining the stock.	5	3		Reviewed July 2015. No further copntrols necessary.	5	3	15		Ann Branson	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori Tabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fu cc S	arg Scol with urth ontr (Secori cori fable	re h ier ols e ng e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability			Impact		Risk			
18. Investment- Delay and compensation event claims are received leading to extensive costs.	Contingency held to address unforeseen issues may be overspent	All claims are monitored and are challenged using internal and external resources. Continued dialogue with the Finance Team to monitor the financial position.				Review meeting established with the contractor and information being sought to substantiate claims with the assistance of a programme analyst and specialist advisors To date information has not been forthcoming from the Leicester and Leicestershire Ecomomic Parternship. To date claims have been settled where they are justified and claims with inadequate information or inaccuracy rebutted.				Contingency provision is over subscribed		30.04.2016 and ongoing
19. Investment. Raising educational achievement -The discontinuation of PCP (reduction in capital investment) and the continuing need to accommodate pupil increases.	A Statutory duty is not met	Delivery of Basic Need Programme to address pupil placements required by September 2015.		4	16	Continued assessment & development across the Primary School estate.	4	3	12	Staff time		30/09/2015 then review 6 monthly

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	eası (Se Scori Tabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Si	arge Scor with irthe ntro (See corin	re er ols ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
20. Investment - Schools Capital. Raising educational achievement.	Reduction in capital investment in schools with ageing school stock and deteriorating condition Potential to not meet statutory building requirements. Reputational damage to the council.	Develop long term strategy across the Primary School estate	4	4	16	Develop long term strategy across the primary and retained secondary school estate is now underway, Condition surveys being undertaken in order to formulate a 3 year programme of works for Planned Capital Maintenance.	4	2	8	Staff time	5	30/09/2015 then review 6 monthly
21. Investment - Maintaining Income (Capital and Revenue) on behalf of the Council	Economic downturn affecting budget	Voids and arrears monitored Monthly	′ 4	4	16	Send rent demands, reviews and renewals on time - collect rent on time. Manage tenants in arrears.	3	4	12	Staff time	-	30.04.2016 and ongoing
22. Investment - Loss of use of Asset	Closure of buildings dues to asbestos	 Findings of asbestos action plan being implemented. Asbestos monitoring returns to be reported to DivMT and Heads of Property monthly. To Corporate Management Team if cause for concern. Action plan works now completed, signed off by Health & Safety and now being monitored. 		3	15	 Ensure 100% compliance with asbestos returns with accurate data by holding Building Responsible Officers to account. Ensure all buildings have an asbestos register 	3	2	6	Staff time	Mark Lloyd	30.04.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	eası (Se	ore th ting ures	Further management actions/controls required	fı co	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See	re n er ols	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Т	Tabl	le)		٦	Probability elder	e)			
	Closure of buildings due to poor water hygiene standards	 Implementation of control regime comprising ongoing regular monitoring, reports, risk assessment reviews and maintenance with allocated budgets. Water hygiene monitoring returns to be reported to DivMT and Heads of Property monthly. To Corporate Management Team (CMT) if cause for concern. Spend of allocated capital budget for water hygiene and production of ongoing prioritised schedule of works ongoing. Water hygiene responsibilities in non-op estate have been confirmed and necessary action taken. 				 Seek 100% compliance with water hygiene returns with accurate data. Further budget for 13/14 works approved in capital programme subject to Corporate Management Team decision. More rigorous audit of Building Responsible Officer monitoring to be undertaken. 						

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	Ris Scor with cisti easu (Se cori	re h ing ures e ing	Further management actions/controls required	fu co So	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See corin	re n er ols mg	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
					Risk							
 23. Local Services and Enforcement - LACK OF ADEQUATE RESOURCE CAPACITY Increase in the demand led services, along with the reduction in head count could mean that there are insufficient resources to deliver the required service levels. During times of change, staff are not always aware of the changes being made, such as the recent relocation requirements, needs and plans etc, resulting in confusion etc. 		 Existing prioritisation arrangements are in place. Policies and procedures are in place. Processes are in place. 	4	4		 Review of succession planning is to be conducted. Need to assess the service demand against the resource availability to understand impacts and generate action plans. Develop further prioritisation arrangements. Continually assess through performance appraisals and individuals one-to-ones. 	3	3	9		John Leach	31/03/2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	easi (Se Scor Tabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fu cc S	arg Scor with urth ontro (Sec cori	re er ols e ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
 24. Local Services and Enforcement REDUCTION IN INCOME GENERATION PROGRAMMES With reductions in public demand in building, parking, licencing, income generated by the Council may be significantly reduced and income generation/revenue targets may not be met. Also, 'one off' income programmes are set as recurring within the budgets/accounts; impacting further on future financial targets. 	 Budgets are not adhered to. Income streams continue to reduce (e.g. Building Regs) due to the economic climate. Targets remain the same or increase, against income sources and staff reductions. One off income is disclosed as recurring, increasing the savings gap. 	 Budgets are in place and alternative savings option appraisals are performed and saving plans are implemented. Policies and procedures are in place. Adhoc business development arrangements are in place. 	3	5	15	 Need to review income targets for recurring and 'one off' income with finance to resolve on-going issues. Enhance the business development resources/opportunity. Budget strategy review. Service review/impacts. Further marketing and promotional projects. 	3	4	12	N/A	John Leach	31/03/2016 Ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the issue: would occur as a rasult, how much of a problem would it to ?, to whom and why Score with a is the root cause/ actions/controls required with existing measures Score with existing actions/controls required Score with further controls Score with further controls actions/controls required Score with further controls Score with further controls actions/controls required Score with further controls Score further controls Score with further controls Score with further controls Score with further controls Score further controls Score further further controls Score further further controls Score further fu	Risk	Concernance leffects what	Existing actions/controls		Risk	r	Further management	1	ara	ot	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why with existing measures with turther controls what is the root cause/ with could go wrong with turther controls with existing measures with turther controls what is the root cause/ with could go wrong Terms already at a minimum number and exist work experience utilise. 3 5 15 Succession planning review is required. 3 4 12 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 5 15 Succession planning review is required. 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 5 15 Succession planning review is required. 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 3 4 12 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0031</td><td>Nisk Owner</td><td>Neview Date</td></th<>							-		-		0031	Nisk Owner	Neview Date
what is the root cause/ to whom and why to whom and why existing measures further controls further controls further controls woblem - what could go wrong whom and why Secoring Table)	What is the issue:						actions/controls required						
what is the root cause/ wooblem - what could go wrong measures controls wooblem - what could go wrong Image: Second go wrong Image: Sec						-							
problem - what could go wrong Image: control of the second grade of the second g	what is the root cause/					•							
Scoring Table Scoring Table<					uou								
Scoring Table Scoring Table<	problem – what could go wrong				(See	ż			(See	÷			
225. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE AGE PROFILE - Teams already at a minimum number and extra Specialist skills and knowledge within the team may be lost due perferation in individuals (younger peneration, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these - Teams already at a minimum number and extra - Step up" - work experience utilise. - Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Training & Mentoring - Training & Mentoring - Nowledge sharing 3 5 15 - Succession planning review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Detential stress-related association in individuals (younger peneration, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oles. - May function of the work career in this area. - Quality of service delivery may be affected. - N/A John Leach 31/03/2016 Ongoing	,				•				•				
25. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE unsustainable. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger and some ethnicities) wishing to ion the Council within these oles Teams already at a minimum number and extra . "Step up" - work experience utilise. . Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Knowledge sharing3515- Succession planning review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. - Training / Mentoring/ Structuring.3412N/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing				ר	able	e)		-	Table	e)			
25. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE unsustainable. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger and some ethnicities) wishing to ion the Council within these oles Teams already at a minimum number and extra . "Step up" - work experience utilise. . Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Knowledge sharing3515- Succession planning review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. - Training / Mentoring/ Structuring.3412N/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing				act	lity	isk		act	lity	isk			
25. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE unsustainable. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger and some ethnicities) wishing to ion the Council within these oles Teams already at a minimum number and extra . "Step up" - work experience utilise. . Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Knowledge sharing3515- Succession planning review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. - Training / Mentoring/ Structuring.3412N/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing				шb	abi	R		l ü	abi	8			
25. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE unsustainable. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger and some ethnicities) wishing to ion the Council within these oles Teams already at a minimum number and extra . "Step up" - work experience utilise. . Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Knowledge sharing3515- Succession planning review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. - Training / Mentoring/ Structuring.3412N/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing				-	rob			-	rob				
Enforcementminimum number and extra RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCEGraduate project officers. - Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles).review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within theseN/AN/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing00Graduate project officers. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger apprenticeship to service delivery may be affected.N/AN/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing					₽				•				
Enforcementminimum number and extra RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCEGraduate project officers. - Graduate project officers. - Training & Mentoring - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles).review is required. - Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. - Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within theseN/AN/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing00Graduate project officers. - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger apprenticeship to service delivery may be affected.N/AN/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing													
RESOURCE & CAPACITY - NCREASED WORKFORCE AGE PROFILE Specialist skills and knowledge within the team may be lost due o further retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys nave identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these oles.	25. Local Services and			3	5	15	1 0	3	4	12			
NCREASED WORKFORCE AGE PROFILE - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these oles.	Enforcement	minimum number and extra					review is required.						
AGE PROFILE - Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). o future retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these	RESOURCE & CAPACITY -	workloads may be					 Continue to enhance 						
dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these oles.	INCREASED WORKFORCE	unsustainable.	-Knowledge sharing				and develop the						
Specialist skills and knowledge within the team may be lost due o future retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys nave identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within thesereduce further (fewer people in key roles). - Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. - Potential stress-related absence/claims. - Quality of service delivery may be affected.promotion of the work/career in this area. - Seek funding for apprenticeship. - Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. - Training/ Mentoring/ Structuring.N/AJohn Leach31/03/2016 Ongoing	AGE PROFILE	 Likelihood of key person 					apprenticeship scheme.						
within the team may be lost due o future retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys nave identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these oles.		dependency as teams					 Commence positive 						
within the team may be lost due o future retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys have identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these	Specialist skills and knowledge	reduce further (fewer					promotion of the						
 o future retirement programmes. Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. Potential stress-related absence/claims. Quality of service delivery and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these 	within the team may be lost due	people in key roles).					work/career in this area.				NI/A	John Loooh	31/03/2016
Furthermore, national surveys with legislation/regulation. have identified a lack of - Potential stress-related aspiration in individuals (younger absence/claims. - Quality of service delivery - Quality of service delivery may be affected. - Training/ Mentoring/ oin the Council within these Structuring.	to future retirement programmes.	- Potential non-compliance					 Seek funding for 				IN/A	JUIII Leach	Ongoing
have identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to oin the Council within these oles.	Furthermore, national surveys	with legislation/regulation.					apprenticeship.						
generation, female workforce - Quality of service delivery may be affected. oin the Council within these oles.	have identified a lack of	- Potential stress-related					 Ensure knowledge 						
generation, female workforce - Quality of service delivery may be affected. oin the Council within these oles.	aspiration in individuals (vounger	absence/claims.					sharing takes place.						
and some ethnicities) wishing to may be affected.	generation, female workforce	- Quality of service delivery					-Training/ Mentoring/						
oin the Council within these olds.		may be affected.					Structuring.						
oles.	join the Council within these						-	1					
STRATEGIC AREA - Corporate Resources and Support	roles.												
		orate Resources and S	upport										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arge	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how	-		Sco		actions/controls required		Scor				
What is the issue.	much of a problem would it be ?,			wit	h	•		with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			cisti easu	ing ures			urth ontro				
problem – what could go wrong			S	(Se cori Tabl	ing		S	(See corir able	ng			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
26. Legal - Key areas of risk are:		- Policies and procedures in place	4	5	20	- Requirement for all to	4	3	12		Kamal	31/03/2016
flexible working practices which expose data to new risks, inappropriate disclosure of personal data, insecure and excessive information sharing externally and internally, lack of universal participation in Information Governance training, lack of awareness of the compliance and enabling role of Information Governance and failure to comply with the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000. (Also see corresponding risks around Data Protection and Freedom of Information compliance.)	 Potential legal challenge. Breaches in regulation/legislation, which may incur fines, reputational damage and negative media coverage. Local breaches are not reported to the Information Governance Team until a compliant arises. There may be a number of unreported information governance breaches which are unreported and being managed at a local level. Subject Access Requests: this area has failed in compliance in 2013, and could fail again in the future. 	 e.g. security, retention and disposal. Devices are encrypted. Staff are briefed on Information Governance compliance and asset management. Improvement plan identifies necessary procedural updates etc. Good liaison with Information Commissioners Office (ICO) and increased visibility and compliance. Regular reports to Directors on the importance of Information Governance compliance. Staff are required to complete Information Governance (IG) training on induction and all staff were asked to complete training in 2013. Leicester City Council submissions to the NHS Information Governance Toolkit provide a health check on Information Governance policies and systems. 				complete annual Information Governance awareness training should be enforced. - Introduce a self-service IG health check for Managers to check their team's compliance and identify their own improvement actions. - IG issues to be addressed more consistently in contracts outside IT Procurement (where this is systematic). - Need for services facing high staff turnover to prioritise Data Protection and security training to maintain capability levels. NB: in a changing context, controls need to evolve and be constantly refreshed to maintain the risk exposure at the current level and prevent it from increasing.					Adatia	Ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Risk		Further management		arg		Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			core vith		actions/controls required		Scor with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			istir asur	-			urth ontro				
problem – what could go wrong			So	(See corin able	g		S	(See corii ſable	ng			
			Impact	Probability	Risk				Risk			
26. Legal - Continued		- Self service Information Governance Healthcheck tool for managers has been drafted. Next stage is testing. NB staff turnover and high rates of change are increasing the Council's exposure to risk here.				Therefore, no reduction in risk exposure is anticipated. - Self service IG Healthcheck tool for managers has been drafted. Next stage is testing. NB staff turnover and high rates of change are increasing the Council's exposure to risk here.						

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	Ris Scor with isti asu (See cori	re h ing ires e ng	Further management actions/controls required	fu co So	arge Score with urthe ontro (See corir able	re er ols ng	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
Governance compliance Key areas of risk are: flexible working practices which expose data to new risks, inappropriate disclosure of personal data, insecure and excessive information sharing externally and internally, lack of universal participation in Information Governance training, lack of awareness of the compliance and enabling role of Information Governance and failure to comply with the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000. (Also see corresponding risks around Data Protection and Freedom of Information	 Potential legal challenge. Breaches in regulation/legislation, which may incur fines, reputational damage and negative media coverage. Local breaches are not reported to the Information Governance Team until a compliant arises. There may be a number of unreported information governance breaches which are unreported and being 	 Improvement plan identifies necessary procedural updates etc. Good liaison with Information Commissioner's Office and increased visibility and compliance. Regular reports to Directors on the importance of Information Governance compliance. Staff are required to complete Information Governance training on induction and all staff were asked to 	4	5		 Requirement for all to complete annual Information Governance awareness training should be enforced. Introduce a self-service Information Governance health check for Managers to check their team's compliance and identify their own improvement actions. Information Governance issues to be addressed more consistently in contracts outside IT Procurement (where this is systematic). 	4	3	12		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	S ex me: Sc T	(See corii able	re ng ires ng ng e)	Further management actions/controls required	f C	Targ Sco witi urth ontr (Se Scori Tabl	re h ier ols e ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
27.Information and Customer Access Information Governance compliance - Continued		 Leicester City Council submissions to the NHS Information Governance (IG) Toolkit provide a health check on Information Governance policies and systems. Self service IG Healthcheck tool for managers has been drafted. Next stage is testing. NB staff turnover and high rates of change are increasing the Council's exposure to risk here. 				 Need for services facing high staff turnover to prioritise Data Protection and security training to maintain capability levels. NB: in a changing context, controls need to evolve and be constantly refreshed to maintain the risk exposure at the current level and prevent it from increasing. Therefore, no reduction in risk exposure is anticipated. 						

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori	re h ing ures e ing	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Se	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See corir	re n er ols e ng	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
				Probability Ide	e)			Probability ad				
 28. Information and Customer Access Staff: Capacity, capability and recruitment Capacity: There are insufficient resources to meet increase in demands, such as business application outage, application failure etc., due to an already lean structure. Teams are being worked increasingly hard including weekends and out of hours. Staff Retention: With a buoyant market place for the team's skills, staff may seek career progression outside the Council. Formal career progression opportunities may not be available internally. Recruitment: Department requires highly skilled people but applicants may be less likely to apply for jobs at the Council as it may not be seen as the employer of first choice. 	calibre, skilled individuals. - Lack of adequate succession planning in some areas, leading to increased key person dependency vulnerability. - Vital skills and expertise are lost e.g. Lync, data warehouse. - Vacancies create more workload pressures and impact on the wellbeing of	 On-going review with HR to ascertain options. Options such as graduate recruitment being investigated and implemented where appropriate. Training, motivation, internal career development to retain and develop staff. Market increments for key posts (4	4	16	Consider up skilling/cross skilling the Team to increase scope of roles etc. - Work with HR to address particular concerns. - succession planning, shaped by skills matrix. - Apprenticeships and graduate schemes for regular input of new talent/skills. - Capture and more proactively manage service demand. - Implement formal out of hours procedure. - review technology architecture to remove any unnecessary complexity and reduce dependency on hard to source skills	3	4	12		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me S	eas (Se Scor Tab	ore th ting tures tures ring ole)	Further management actions/controls required	fi cc S	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See corir Table	re er ols ng e)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk		
 28. Information and Customer Access - Continued Key person/team dependency: Reliance on key people/teams, for e.g. Transformation Team, Finance (Agresso) to deliver the service may leave, or could be on long term absence. Structure/Role coverage: There is no formal out of hours service in place to support services, which operate out of Council hours, such as evenings and weekends. Some needs met by goodwill. 						 Review existing support contacts to ensure we understand what maintenance support is offered and that we're making best use of these arrangements. Embed new senior management arrangements. 					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me Si	(See cori ſable	re h ing ires e ng e)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Si T	arg Scor with urth ontro (See cori fable	re er ols e ng e)	Risk Owner	Review Date
29.Information and Customer Access Finance and budget - impact on ability to meet Council requirements On-going pressure to reduce costs within the council which is	the service - Service demand may not be met - Targets and deadlines	 Engaging with the review of IT services to ensure there is a clear understanding of the services provided and the potential impacts of major service cuts. Raise profile and demonstrate 	4	+ Probability	16	- On-going existing actions.		4 Probability		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016
impacting on the service capacity.	may be missed, e.g. delivery of new programmes and business solutions. - Loss of front line productivity across the Council as services are not available when needed.	value of the team and the need for specialised resource.									

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how			Sco		actions/controls required		Scor				
What is the issue.	much of a problem would it be ?,			wit	h			with	n			
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			xisti easu	ing ires			urth ontro				
problem – what could go wrong			s	(Se Scori Tabl	ng		s	(See corii able	ng			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact					
30. Information and Customer Access Information Security The information and IT security environment is changing rapidly, altering the risk profile and requiring constant adjustment of controls e.g. Challenges of cloud computing, use of mobile devices for flexible working, bring your own device). It is challenging for central IT and information services to evolve infrastructure, policy, practice and guidance to keep up, and for the wider employee base to adapt their working practices to keep the organisation's information secure. In addition, requirements for national Code of Connection compliance also change over time, placing new security demands on the organisation. Failure to stay on top of security risks presents the risk of information security breaches.	and/or sensitive Information is compromised. - potential for Data Protection monetary penalties, negative press coverage, reputational impact. - Impact on individuals (employees, service users, citizens) of their Information being compromised, r including distress or damage such as identity theft and reputational impact. - Reduced trust in the Council, impacting on its ability to deliver key services - Lost productive time due to IT downtime	 IT security provisions - encryption, firewalls, virus protection, Secure Socket Layer connections where needed, access control. Security standards, policies and procedures, maintained, proactively communicated and published for universal access. Dedicated security roles undergoing professional development. Assurance routes via 1. Work to obtain and maintain Public Service Network accreditation, 2. Internal audit, 3. Information Governance Toolkit. Information and IT security are integral to IT procurement exercises, to ensure that software and hardware offer good security. Technical Information Security Group to raise security issues, address concerns, track implementation of internal audit recs. New approach to report on uptake of Data Protection training to support managers in compliance - targeting Children's Services first. 		4	16	 Keep controls up to date to respond to evolving threats. Increase manager awareness of the negative impact of staff change etc. on security awareness and capabilities. Adjust security provisions to meet the next year's Public Service Network requirements. NB: in a changing context, controls need to evolve to maintain the risk exposure at the current level and prevent it from increasing. Therefore, only a limited risk exposure is anticipated. 		3	12		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori Fable	re ng ires ng e)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co Sa	arge scor with urthe ontro (See corir able	re er ols ng	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
31. Information and Customer Access Capacity and Service Reporting Across the estate, the utilisation of application and network related hardware may not be fully understood.	 Reputational damage Service delivery may not be met Effect on available resources i.e. budget and staff if unplanned upgrades required Negative effect on productivity Affects ability to plan 	- none noted currently (Tools are available but not being used)	3	5		 Maximise use of available tools Develop framework/guidelines for operating procedures 	2	4	8		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arge	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how		5	Scoi		actions/controls required	S	Scor	е			
	much of a problem would it be ?,			with				with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			xisti	-			irthe				
			me	easu	ires		co	ontro	bls			
problem – what could go wrong				(See				(See				
				Table	•			corir able	-			
					,							
			Impact	Probability	Ris		Impact	Probability	Ris			
			<u>=</u>	bal			르	bal				
				Pro				Pro				
32. Information and Customer	- Improvements are not	- Tactical improvement actions and	3	5	15	- Implement holistic	3	5	15		Alison	31.03.2016
	•	plans have been identified and are in		Ŭ		Disaster Recovery Plan.	Ĭ	Ŭ			Greenhill	0.00.20.0
····· j ·		the process of being implemented.	1			- Confirm roles and						
There is no clear demand	- Inefficient and/or	- Gateway process in place				responsibilities.						
	ineffective operations are in	- Organisational restructure has				- Ask services to involve						
	-	been suggested and is being				the customer services						
means it is difficult to plan		considered.				team in the						
	impacts.	- Business Continuity Management				planning/phasing/releasin						
workloads etc. There is no		arrangements under review.				g of information etc.						
Target Operating Model, so that	- Service delivery affected.	3				- Intended focus on more						
service level	- Incidents are not					long term and forward						
	appropriately identified and					planning.						
deliverables are not always clear						- Consider establishing a						
and not delivered upon under a	- Increased reliance on IT					demand team (as part of						
	staff rather than					the Methods review)						
business. In some instances,	departmental self-		1									
the least relevant priority is dealt	sufficiency.											
with rather than the most	- Increased demand on ICT											
significant. This is exacerbated	resources.		1									
as there is currently no	 Supplier response times 											
	and deadlines to rectify		1									
	fixes/changes are lengthy											
support and demand. ICT cannot	and not always a priority.		1									
provide the additional flexibility,			1									
complexity and time/resources												
required by rising customer			1									
expectations.												

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arge	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
	would occur as a result, how			Scol		actions/controls required		Scor		0000		Notice Date
What is the issue:	much of a problem would it be ?,			with		actions/controls required	_	with				
	to whom and why			cisti				irthe				
what is the root cause/					ires		со	ntro	ols			
problem – what could go wrong				(See	e			(See	•			
				cori	-		S	corir	ng			
				Fable				able				
			Impact	Probability	Risk		act	Probability	isk			
			dm	abi	R		du	abi	~			
			-	rob			-	rob				
				┛				Ъ				
32. Information and Customer	- Contract arrangements do					 Unlikely to be able to 						
Access Demand and change	not include performance					influence this risk in the						
management - Continued	targets, turnaround times					near future as						
-	SLA information etc., the					fundamental						
	Council is unable to hold					organisational change is						
	them to account.					required, so management						
	- Data could be lost/unable					actions are to maintain						
	to be restored					status quo and prevent						
	- Delays in projects, tasks					the risk worsening.						
	and assignments.											
	- Adverse effect on budget.						1					
	Auverse encou on budget.						1					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Concomuonoo lattaata what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	т	arg	ot	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
-	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how	Existing actions/controls		kis Sco		actions/controls required		arg Scor		COSI	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	much of a problem would it be ?,			wit		actions/controls required		with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		ex	xist			fı	urth	er			
problem – what could go wrong				(Se Scori Tabl	ing		s	(See cori Fable	ng			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact					
33. Information and Customer Access Impact on record keeping from use of shared drives and email Information on line of business systems including the Council's EDRMS can be more robustly managed than that on email and shared drives. Email has become the predominant means of business communication BUT this means that records of Council activities and decisions are stored in Outlook rather than systems where they can be sufficiently protected, findable and available as Council records. Shared drive management is also problematic . Many teams do not have a mature shared drive structure in place, and structures are sprawling. Some officers do not have access to shared spaces, only to individual Home drives.	trees, where documents and files are accumulated to excess without consistent filing practices, naming conventions and disposal routines, and where defunct materials are still cluttering up drives.	 Policies in place (e.g. Information Management Policy, Records Retention Schedule). ICT induction briefly addresses email management and filing systems. Being reviewed now so there are stronger messages about managing content. Information Management Team advising teams on an ad hoc basis re good records practice. Guidance written on a shared drive refresh process - being tested with Children's Centres. Will enable a scaling up of assistance to services. Draft guidance in place for driving down email volumes. In testing. 	3	5	15	 Enterprise Content Management project to enable teams to review their saved content, to organise it and to cut it back to the necessary. Relaunch of Information and Records Management policies. Rollout of information management training for managers. Improved induction training for information management. Integration of IM skills into wider courses where appropriate. Create a self service information and records healthcheck helping services to prioritise addressing weak areas (Jan-Mar 2015). 	3	4	12		Alison Greenhill	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how			Sco		actions/controls required		Scor				
what is the root cause/	much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why		e>		h ing ıres		fu	with urth ontro	er			
problem – what could go wrong			s	(Se cori ſabl	ng		S	(See corii ſable	ng e)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
33. Information and Customer Access Impact on record keeping from use of shared drives and email - Continued Even where well designed filing structures are in place, electronic disposal of records at the end of their lifetime is usually not taking place, leading to accumulation of materials.	 The accumulation of past materials impedes effective working on current issues. Potential for the Council to be unable to locate the evidence it may need for its decisions and actions. Increased overhead of responding to Freedom of Information requests. 					 The success of the above controls is conditional on effective communications and strong buy-in cascaded across the organisation from senior management down. Progress is also currently impeded by limited staff resources in Information Management. Restructure underway to increase skilled capacity. 						

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,	Existing actions/controls	5	Ris Sco wit	re h	Further management actions/controls required	5	'arge Scor with	e	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			kist easu	ing ures			urth ontro				
problem – what could go wrong			s	(Se icori Tabl	ing		S	(See corii ſable	ng			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
34. Delivery, Communications and Political Governance - UNPLANNED ELECTION EVENT The service may struggle to manage a number of unplanned, additional elections, as well as a number of different type of elections e.g. House of Lords, Referendums etc.	appropriately/challenges are received. - Reputational damage. - Adverse effect on	Returning officer and nominated deputies are in place. - Insurance is in place. - Many elections can be planned and have set dates.		4		 '- Develop skills and expertise across the wider electoral services team. - Ensure that there is a robust planning support structure in place. Develop a potential 'business continuity plan' to build resilience and stability. - Use external or peer support where feasible e.g. from other local authorities. - Consider training/up- skilling a pool of contingency staff. - Review further as a management team. (Actions required to maintain risk score). 	4	4	16			31/03/2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
	would occur as a result, how			Sco		actions/controls required		Scor		0031	Nisk Owner	Neview Date
What is the issue:	much of a problem would it be ?,			wit		aonono/controlo roquirou		with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		e	xist	ing ures		fu	urth	er			
problem – what could go wrong			s	(Se icor Tabl	ing		S	(See corii ſable	ng ∋)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
35. Delivery, Communications and Political Governance - LEGAL CHALLENGE Increased legal challenges may heighten the need to ensure that processes are effective, efficient, communicated in a uniform manner and that managers and staff follow explicit guidance. Equalities Impact Assessments (EIAs) are likely to become an increasingly targeted area for Legal Challenge.	right information, performed in a uniform manner, not consistently worded, communicated or the tone are appropriate), leading to legal challenge. - Equalities Impact Assessments cannot address all potential areas of legal challenge on Public Sector Equality Duty grounds. - Lack of legal expertise/appropriate resources. - Potential for legal challenge/judicial review by	 Internal audits and assessments (EIAs) are performed to help ensure the Council meets the Public Sector Equality Duty. On-going reviews of guidance and legislation are conducted. Processes and procedures in place. Staff are aware of duties and responsibilities. Expert support e.g. HR, equalities, consultation and research, CPMO in place with supporting guidance. Lessons learned/changes arising from any challenge outcomes continue to be communicated and use of external panel to review EIAs for spending reviews / budget EIA templates recently reviewed and revised 	4	4	16	 Continue to build organisational consulting, research and communication strategies. Review processes and gap analysis to explore the exposure. Review external practice eg from other Local Authorities, which have been deemed as best practice and implement locally as appropriate. Ensure the correct resources, with the relevant skills and experience are allocated to roles. Ensure HR support is available. Mandatory equalities e- learning package almost complete. EIA e-learning module almost complete. 		3	12		Miranda Cannon	31/03/2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Companying a latter to the	Existing actions/controls		Diel	6	Further management	т	arc	ot.	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
		Existing actions/controls		Risl		actions/controls required		arg		Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			Scor		actions/controls required		Scor				
	to whom and why			with				with				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			cisti	-			urth				
			me	asu	ires		cc	ontro	ols			
problem – what could go wrong				(See	e			(See	e			
				coriı	-		S	coriı	ng			
				Table	,			able				
			act	Probability	sk		Impact	Probability	sk			
			du	lida	R		npŝ	lig	Ř			
			-	go			-	go				
				Ъ				Р.				
35. Delivery, Communications	- Information may be	- Equality checklist for different				Mandatory equalities e-		-	-			
	inappropriately shared.	stages of capital projects developed				learning package being			1			
and Political Governance -												
LEGAL CHALLENGE -	- Unrealistic public/political	so that equalities considerations at				scoped and developed						
Continued		each stage are recorded and signed				- EIA e-learning module						
	- Procurement process may					being developed						
	be challenged.	 council EIA template being used for 				 Consider these actions 						
	- Legal challenges focus on	Health & Well Being Board reports				as one element of a wider						
	process rather than content.	and also for Better Care Together				package of support for						
	-	reports, standardising our approach.				evidence-based policy						
						making and service						
						development, linking in						
						with divisional actions to						
						promote the sharing of						
						intelligence, strengthen						
						practice around option						
						appraisal, consultation			1			
						and evaluation, and						
						provide practical help with						
						cost-benefit analysis (e.g.			1			
						researching the scope of			1			
						a problem, the reasons						
						for intervention, and good						
						practice solutions).						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1			
STRATEGIC AREA - Educ	ation and Children's Se	prvices										
STRATEOR AREA - Educ												

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori Fabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fu co So T	arge Scor with urthe ontro (See corin	re er ols ng e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
36. Learning Quality and	Low school engagement in	BSF School's in phase 3 to 6		רט Probability		Resource management		ر Probability		staff time	Jane	31/03/2016
Performance Leicester City Council reputation / relationships with schools are hindered by the delay in resolving snags and defects items with schools.	sharing and / or celebrating impact of Building Schools	identified as high risks are indicated on internal CPMO report with mitigating actions.	5	5		between property and education to be agreed. Children's Capital Governance to be reviewed to ensure resolution to snags and defects is reported and managed through the system. Clarity to schools provided on escalation route for snags and defects concerns.		5				and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me S	(Se cori Fable	re h ing ures e ing e)	Further management actions/controls required	fi cc S	arg Scor with urth ontro (See corin	re er ols e ng e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact		Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
be subject to a targeted Ofsted inspection with multiple inspections across schools followed by Local Authority (LA) inspection.	LA can provide evidence to support positive outcome but resource demands would be significant. Major issue about credibility of service which could increase the number of schools changing to academy status		4			Positive response to recommendations identified in peer review completion of a detailed Self Evaluation Form (SEF) leading to a revised school improvement Framework Close work between LA Officers, Department of Education & Ofsted representation to manage RI/SM schools Action plans in place for new teams in the raising achievement service linked to SEF		4			Winterbone	31/03/2016
	The schools overall time and capacity to focus on educational improvements is reduced and/or comprised.	LQP services to be targeted where necessary to provide additional support. Relationship Management via HoS to capture risks and Issues for Schools are reported with resolution via Corporate Portfolio Management Office (CPMO).	4	4	16	CPMO provides regular update on the impact of any delay to the school and if required, LCC services between education and property are brought together to arrange support.	3	2	6	Staff time	Jane Winterbone	31/03/2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me S	eası (Se cori Fabl	re h ing ures e ing le)	Further management actions/controls required	fi co s	arg Scor with urth ontro (See corin	re h ler ols e ng e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
39.Learning Quality and Performance School closure required due to significant health and safety snags and defects works incomplete in capital projects. i.e. heating, ventilation, water and fire system failures	schools for Children and Young People not met	Building Review Groups (BRG) are established by BSF property to identify and resolve high risk snags and defect items.	4		16	Resource management plan of how schools will be supported in BSF post handover to be developed between property and education.		4 Probal	16	Staff time	Winterbone	31/03/2016 and ongoing
40. Learning Quality and Performance - Loss of Building Schools For Future (BSF) knowledge and Intelligence through high staff turnover in project teams	delayed. Reactive handover with no record of change, agreement or clarity for schools	School have been asked to request BRG reports from BSF project team so that they can take ownership in prioritising issues / actions to be completed. Final list of issues and snags has been escalated for resolution.	4	4		Resource management plan of how schools will be supported in BSF post handover to be developed between property and education.	4	5	20	staff time		31/03/2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue: what is the root cause/	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why		ex	Scoi with cisti	re h	actions/controls required	S fu	with ontro	re n er			
problem – what could go wrong			S T	(See cori ſable	ng e)		S	(See corii able	ng e)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
41. Learning Quality and Performance - Schools in Ofsted categories or below floor standard converted to academies by order of the secretary of state.	impact on overall schools budget and reputation of authority. Difficult to maintain an overview of Children /young people that the LA continue to be responsible for.	School improvement strategy and LA support plans. School2School partnership are in place. Performance dialogue meeting between School Improvement Advisor and school leadership teams for every school in the City. Support and challenge is provided in inverse proportion to need.	3	5	15	Targeted support packages in place for schools in scope for conversion. Half termly progress checks through team around the school meetings Whole school reviews for those schools that are Requires Improvement or in Special Measures - Regular reports submitted to Divisional Management Team re current position	3	4	12		Jane Winterbone	31/03/2016
are ineffective and Local Authority has insufficiently trained staff to deliver and manage the range.	failings, lacks capacity and competency. Potential adverse impact on inspection outcomes.	Work Life Balance policies, and supporting wellbeing website www.childrensworkforce/ supporting wellbeing Learning Training & Development Plan refreshed – new Department priority and focus on qualification and safeguarding training.	4	4	16	Management to implement health and safety and wellbeing policies and seek advice and support to mitigate risk of undue stress in the workforce New corporate team to actively engage in implementing workforce strategy and limited strategy and plans.	4	3	12		Frances Craven	01.03.2016
STRATEGIC AREA - Public	c Health											

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i>	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	s ex	Risk Score with tistin asur	e ac ng	urther management ctions/controls required	s fu	arget Score with urther ontrols		Risk Owner	Review Date
problem – what could go wrong			S	(See coring able)	g		S 1	(See coring ⁻ able)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability Risk			
 43. Public Health - Data Access and Sharing - 1. Unresolved issues in national guidance on this matter. 2. Pseudominised Hospital Episode Statistics data for 10 years has not yet been released to us. 3. No current access to birth and deaths (temporarily withdrawn) and risk will be there depending on how long Office of National Statistics takes to approve permissions. 4. Regarding data from General Practitioners (Systmone) the requirements for a data agreement with all data owners. This process is complicated and detailed. 	Offer a limited services in terms of core offer and other analyses required.	Audit Information Governance within Division to support move to Information Governance Toolkit Level 3 Division of Public Health is at Information Governance Toolkit Level 2. Awaiting national decisions ether within the Department of Health, NHS England, Health and Social Care Information Centre and or the Information Governance Officer. Application made for births and deaths data. Current access through GEMCSU has not yet been activated for testing.	4	4 1	rei lin ncc lov Ma Go 2 : Av de De Ni Sc Co Inf da C Of St Ar for co via ex g up (fo	ore timely data being bleased nationally on he (aggregated - does of support analysis at wer level). laintain Information overnance Toolkit Level and work to Level 3. waiting national ecisions either within the epartment of Health, HS England, Health and ocial Care Information ommissioner and/or the formation Governance fficer (secondary care ata). ollow up application to ffice of National tatistics. rrangements in place for he sharing of NHS data or certain public helath ommissioned services a risk stratification data ktract information greements being drawn of or specific projects or primary care data). ontinue to chase	4	3 1:	2	Ruth Tennant	31/03/2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Ris	k	Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how			Sco		actions/controls required		Scor				
What is the issue.	much of a problem would it be ?,			wit			,	with	۱			
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		e	cisti	ing		fι	ırth	er			
			me	easi	ures		со	ontro	ols			
problem – what could go wrong				(Se	e			(See	•			
				cori	-		S	cori	ng			
				Tabl				able				
			act	lity	Risk		Impact	Probability	isk			
			Impact	abil	Ř		u Di	abil	R			
			-	ĝ			-	ĝ				
				ā				ā				
44. Public Health- Capability	Potential future succession	Adherence to Local Government	4	4	16	Engage with Human	4	3	12		Ruth	31.03.2016
and Capacity- Recruitment of	planning issues.	Association/Public Health England				Resources colleagues to					Tennant	
	Less effective	guidance relating to recruitment of				understand and put in						
expertise	commissioning of specialist	staff				place steps to shape our						
	programmes	Job description written in a relevant				recruitment offering to						
	Contracts are procured	way to attract target applicants.				entice high calibre,						
	without the correct	Pay scales broadly similar to				relevant etc. candidates						
	expertise/knowledge	National Health Service/market				in future recruitment and						
	resulting in corrective action					enable successful						
	of legal costs.	Job evaluation complete				succession planning Inc.						
	Incurring of additional costs					protection of National						
	through a need for agency					Health Service pension						
	and temporary staff to					arrangements						
	provide cover for work					Regarding the Consultant						
	areas					post job offer, in the						
						interim a market						
						increment will be applied						
						for to ensure posts can						
						be advertised closer to	1					
						former NHS levels. In the	1					
						longer term a higher	1					
			1			substantive banding for	1 '					
						the role will be sought.	1					
			1			Seek grading scheme	1					
			1			beyond market	1					
			1			supplements	1					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls		Risł	(Further management	Т	arg	et	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how		Score		е	actions/controls required		Score				
	much of a problem would it be ?,			with				with	۱			
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		ex	isti	ng		fu	urth	er			
			measures		res		controls		ols			
problem – what could go wrong			(See Scoring Table)		÷		(See		;			
					-			Scoring				
					,				e)			
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	isk			
			dm	abi	ĸ		dш	abi	8			
			-	2 D			-	rob				
				₽				٩				
45. Public Health	Increased costs to the local	- Health Visiting Transfer Group with	4	4	16	Review of Health Visiting,	4	3	12		Ruth	30.09.2015
Insufficient funding	authority	LA has considered the issue and				Family Nurse Partnership					Tennant	
transferred to the LA on 1		worked with NHS England to clarify				and School Nursing						
October 2015 to meet the full		scope and funding.				(Healthy Child						
cost of the Health Visiting and		On the advice of this group the City				Programme 0-19 years)						
Family Nurse Partnership		Council (along with Leicestershire				currently being						
Service (FNP).		and Rutland County Councils) has				undertaken for						
Agreement has been reached		not signed-off the estimates provided				reprocuring services						
with NHS England regarding the		by Public Health England. Detailed				within budget.						
level of resource to be	families that may be missed	reasons have been submitted to				Awaiting response						
transferred. However, there are		NHS England.				through NHS England						
still some ambiguities e.g FNP		Performance framework negotiated				Area Team or directly						
licence fee. Furthermore, there		with provider re Health Visiting				from NHS England						
is also a lack of performance		contract. Lead to be recruited and				nationally at this stage.						
data from the provider and an		Action plan to be developed.				Task group being set up						
issue regarding the		Commissioning for Quality and				across LLR to discuss a						
commissioning of registered		Innovation (CQUIN) monies attached				progressive action plan						
versus resident population.		to delivery (£104K from NHS				on moving from a						
Population Population		England, £104K from LCC). Ongoing				registered to resident						
		meetings with NHS England and				population						
		provider										
		Discussion between NHS England										
		and FNP National Unit to clarify										
		ambiguities regarding FNP licence										
	1	faa				I I		I			l	

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls				Further management	Target			Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,		with existing measures (See Scoring Table)			actions/controls required		Score with further controls (See Scoring Table)				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why				-							
problem – what could go wrong					ng e)							
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
Sexual Health Service Provider (Staffordshire, Stoke on Trent Partnership) unable to continue to deliver the contracted services due to an apparent financial shortfall	before end of contract forcing early reprocurement Quality of service could be	Leicester City and Leicestershire and Rutland County Councils have a joint partnership management group who are work closely with the provider.	4	4		Continued meetings with other commissioners, legal advice sought, action plan awaited from provider action plan awaited from provider by end of September 2015	4	3	12		Ruth Tennant	30/09/2015
47. Public Health- Clinical Governance - There is currently a lack of clinical governance at a corporate level within the Local	Possible failure of external reviews/appraisals. Increase in costs.	- Clinical Governance Group is in place with Public Health Uncertainties exist about existing arrangements. There are existing arrangements with stakeholders/providers; such as Clinical Commissioning Group , Leicestershire Partnership Trust etc who are required to deliver clinical governance assurance.	5	3		Continual on-going stakeholder engagement and development of existing and future relationships. Clinical Commissioning Group in place. Progress report to be made to Quality Surveillance Group. Framework for Clinical Group adopted	4	3	12		Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016